

Report of the Director of Resources

## **CAPITAL PROGRAMME - MONITOR TWO**

### **Report Summary**

1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Executive of the likely outturn position of the 2006/07 Capital Programme based on the spend profile and information to November, as reported to Executive Member with Advisory Panel (EMAP) meetings for each portfolio;
2. To advise the Executive of £99k of net additional external funding;
3. To advise the Executive of £1.61m of financial slippage and request its approval;
4. To inform the Executive of £260k of overspends and provide options to fund it;
5. To inform the Executive of the funding position of the capital programme, taking account of the current capital receipts forecasts for the 3 year capital programme.

### **Background to the 2006/07 – 2008/09 Capital Programme**

6. The 2006/07 – 2008/09 capital programme was approved by Council on 1st March 2006. Since then a number of amendments have taken place as part of the 2005/06 Outturn Report, Monitor One and through adjustments made under delegated authority. These changes have resulted in a current approved capital programme for 2006/07 of £53.408m, financed by £39.379m of external funding, leaving a cost to the Council of £14.029m to be financed from capital receipts. Table 1 illustrates the movements from the start budget to the current approved position.

	<b>Gross Budget £m</b>	<b>External Funding £m</b>	<b>Cost to CYC £m</b>
Original Budget Approved	50.100	34.892	15.208
Slippage Carried Forward from 2005/06	3.081	2.230	0.851
Inclusion of <a href="#">Easy@York</a> in main programme	2.607	2.607	0.000
Adjustments Approved at Monitor One	(2.380)	(0.350)	(2.030)
<b>Current Approved Capital Programme</b>	<b>53.408</b>	<b>39.379</b>	<b>14.029</b>

**Table 1 – Current Approved Capital Programme**

## Consultation

7. The Capital programme was developed under the Capital Resource Allocation Model (CRAM) framework and agreed by Council on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2006. Whilst the capital programme as a whole is not consulted on, the individual scheme proposals and associated capital receipt sales do follow a consultation process with local Councillors and residents in the locality of the individual schemes.

## Summary of Key Issues

8. Against a current approved budget of £53.408, there is a predicted outturn of £52.157m, £1.251m less than the current approved budget. The projected outturn against budget is highlighted in Table 2. Key variances include
- Reprofiting of the Acomb Library scheme of £700k,
  - Re scheduling of elements of the York Pools scheme of £427k,
  - Re profiling of the Parks and Open Spaces Development scheme of £185k,
  - Rescheduling of Local Transport Plan works to future years of £326k,
  - Additional investment in IT systems of £300k,
  - An overspend of £260k on the replacement depot,
  - Removal of a scheme to develop land on hospital fields road £110k.

<b>Department</b>	<b>Current Approved Budget 2006/07</b>	<b>Projected Outturn</b>	<b>Variance</b>	<b>Paragraph Reference</b>
	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	<b>£m</b>	
Children's Services	12.878	12.878	0.000	11-13
City Strategy	19.630	19.564	-0.066	14-25
Economic Development	0.361	0.361	0.000	26
Housing	10.046	10.041	-0.005	27-28
Leisure & Culture	2.263	1.001	-1.262	29-30
Neighbourhood Services	0.697	0.699	0.002	31-32
Resources (inc Easy @ York)	6.862	6.942	0.080	33-35
Social Services	0.671	0.671	0.100	36
<b>Total</b>	<b>53.408</b>	<b>52.157</b>	<b>-1.251</b>	

**Table 2 – Capital Programme Forecast Outturn 2006/07**

9. To date there has been £30.3m of capital spend, which represents 57% of the approved budget, this is more than the £27.1m (60%) spent last year, but in line with previous years' spend profiles.
10. The main highlights of this monitoring report are:
  - a) The completion and opening of the £2.3m Clifton Green Integrated Children's Centre which provides family social services, health services, Sure Start services and childcare facilities on the site of Clifton Green Primary School,
  - b) The completion and opening of the £3m James Street Link Road to ease traffic levels on Foss Islands road in anticipation of the development of the former depot site,
  - c) The rapid progress been made on the upgrade and extension of Huntington Secondary School, involving the refurbishment of 12 classrooms and provision of Youth and Performing Arts facilities for pupils and the local community,
  - d) Additional capital investment of £300k in IT equipment to be funded from prudential borrowing.
  - e) To place some Local Transport Plan schemes on temporary hold to allow flexibility in scheme management in 2007/08.
  - f) Approval of a new £5.4m 25 metre swimming pool integrated with Oakland's sport centre.

## **Analysis**

11. The Executive Member with Advisory Panels (EMAP's) met during December to report on the performance of each portfolio. A summary of the key implications on the capital programme are highlighted below.

Education and Children's Services (EMAP - 7<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

12. The approved budget 2006/07 for Education and Children's Services is £12.878m following the adjustments made at Monitor One. A review of progress on current schemes has been made and it is anticipated that all schemes will outturn to the current plan. There are, however, changes that are proposed that impact upon 2007/08, 2008/09 and 2009/10. Table 3 gives a summary of the changes on a scheme by scheme basis.

	<b>2006/07 £m</b>	<b>2007/08 £m</b>	<b>2008/09 £m</b>	<b>2009/10 £m</b>	<b>Total £m</b>
<b>Approved Programme</b>	<b>12.878</b>	<b>16.485</b>	<b>8.000</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>37.363</b>
York High School		0.400	1.550	0.250	2.200
NDS Modernisation		-0.400			-0.400
<b>Revised Capital Programme 2006/09</b>	<b>12.878</b>	<b>16.485</b>	<b>9.550</b>	<b>0.250</b>	<b>39.163</b>

***Table 3 – Education and Children's Capital Programme***

York High School (Scheme Cost £12.200m)

13. This scheme is currently at the planning and design stage with the major scheme expenditure expected in 2007/08 and 2008/09. During the detailed design stage it has become clear that the full scheme cost will be significantly greater than was anticipated at the time of the initial bid. If the scheme is to deliver all of the requirements included in the design brief, the level of investment will need to be increased by £2.05m. The increase is due, in part, to higher than anticipated costs of refurbishing the existing building. Refurbishment is generally responding to both the poor condition of the building and the need to meet new legislation. In so doing the building will need to meet the DfES requirement to achieve a 'very good' rating under BREEAM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method) for schools and meet the new Part L building regulations, including the use of renewable fuels. The main elements of this work are the upgrading of the Mechanical and Electrical installation and the replacement of all windows with double glazed units. There are also additional highway and infrastructure costs on the site, and a significant programme of work that will be needed on the Lowfield School site to ensure that the curriculum can continue to be delivered whilst the York High scheme is completed.
14. To fund this additional investment it is proposed to use £750k of funding in addition to the £150k already earmarked towards the school, from the Local Authority Formula Capital (Modernisation Scheme) between 2007/08 to 2009/10. In addition, it is also proposed to fund £1.3m through prudential borrowing from the Schools Budget. This can be funded from the revenue savings that will arise from the merger of the two schools.

City Strategy (EMAP – 11<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

15. The current approved budget for City Strategy is £10.835m following adjustments made at Monitor One. Proactive reprofiling of budgets has been offset slightly by an overspend on the new depot resulting in a reduction in the 2006/07 programme of £66k. Table 4 summarises the proposed adjustments.

	<b>2006/07 £m</b>	<b>2007/08 £m</b>	<b>2008/09 £m</b>	<b>Total £m</b>
<b>Approved Programme</b>	<b>10.835</b>	<b>7.317</b>	<b>6.042</b>	<b>24.194</b>
Local Transport Plan reprofiling	-0.326	+0.326		0.000
New Depot Replacement	+0.260			+0.260
<b>Revised City Strategy Capital Programme</b>	<b>10.769</b>	<b>7.643</b>	<b>6.042</b>	<b>24.454</b>

**Table 4 – City Strategy Capital Programme**

16. The main reason for the reprofiling of schemes is because of the size and complexity of the 2007/08 planned programme. A reduction in the integrated transport funding over the next few years and the planned delivery of Moor Lane Roundabout in 2007/08 means that there is likely to be less funding available for other integrated transport schemes in 2007/08.
17. In previous years any schemes that could not be completed for progress or funding reasons would be slipped to the following financial year, with the associated funding reprioritised in year. However, because of the potential restrictions in 2007/08, rather than automatically carrying over all of the schemes which can not be completed in 2006/07, it is proposed to put some schemes on temporary hold and undertake a comprehensive review of the programme for the next few years before preparing the allocations for 2007/08. All schemes will be reprioritised against the objectives of the LTP and assessed for value for money and affordability. Currently there are large mixture of minor scheme valued at £326k that can be delayed in this year with the funding to be reallocated in 2007/08.

Replacement Depot

18. The replacement Depot has now reached practical completion and the Council has started to operate from the new facility. The final account has yet to be finalised. The monitor one report stated that there would be an overspend on the contract of £150k, plus some potential claims that might have to be funded. The current position is showing a likely overspend of £410k, an increase of £260k. The cost of the depot has increased since the specification was first agreed owing to changes in the operational requirements of Neighbourhood Services and enhancements to building standards and working practices since the concept was first developed in 2000.

19. There continue to be potential claims against the Council for delays that the contractor believes were caused by Council changes. The Council believes that it has no liability for these claims and will therefore be disputing them.
20. The original concept for the new depot was that it be funded from the sale of the Foss Islands Road site. As the scheme was developed it became clear that the Council could generate a net capital receipt from the scheme, with the cost of the new depot being less than the capital receipt from the sale of the Foss Islands depot site. Part of the sale conditions on the Foss Islands depot was that the Council would receive overage payments in addition to the sale price depending on the profitability of the site which would be an edge of town retail development. Most of the units on the site were pre let when the contract was signed, guaranteeing the Council £1m, which was built in to the capital programme funding assumptions. Since then further units have been pre let and as a result the overage due to the Council is going to be in excess of £1.26m, £0.26m more than currently budgeted for. Overall the project will contribute over £2.6m to the Council, Table 12 illustrates the breakdown of the deal and the contribution that it has made to the Council.

	<b>£000</b>
<b>Gross Cost of New Depot</b>	<b>9,961</b>
Funded by	
Sale of Land to KLG	10,000
Additional KLG Cont	150
Sustainability Funding	674
Prudential Borrowing	527
Overage	1,260
<b>Total Funding</b>	<b>12,611</b>
<b>Net Contribution to CYC</b>	<b>2,650</b>

***Table 12 Contribution of New Depot Scheme to CYC***

21. There are three options available to fund the overspend
22. Option 1 – Fund through capital receipts surplus  
 The budget approved by Council on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2006 allowed for a capital receipts surplus of £1.290m over the life of the 2006/07 – 2008/09 capital programme. This was reduced to £1.1m following monitor one. However, the proposed capital programme budget for 2007/08 to 2010/11, also on this agenda, proposes to use the majority of this surplus to invest in capital priorities over the following 4 years. Funding this overspend from capital receipts would reduce the funding available for future schemes.
23. Option 2 – Fund through Prudential Borrowing  
 The financial implications of unsupported borrowing would be incurring an ongoing charge to the revenue account in the form of Minimum Revenue Provision (4% per annum) and the interest cost of the loan

itself (approximately 4.65% per annum). This will result in a revenue charge of £23k per annum.

24. Option 3 – Fund from overage on the Foss Islands Road retail development  
The level of overage on the site is now expected to be in excess of £1.26m, £0.26m more than budgeted for. This overage could be built in to the budget to fund the additional overspend.
25. Option 3 is the preferred option.

Economic Development (EMAP – 11<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

26. The approved programme for Economic Development is £361k, this is comprised of £100k approved at budget council and £261k carried forward from 2005/06. The two schemes that make up the programme are to provide a new visitor information centre in the city centre and to build new workshops for small businesses at Clifton Moor are projected to outturn on budget.

Leisure and Culture (EMAP - 5<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

27. The approved Capital Programme for Leisure and Culture was £2.263m following the adjustments made under monitor one. As a result of changes made under this monitor, the capital programme has been reduced by £1.262m to £1.001m. Table 5 gives a summary of the changes on a scheme by scheme basis.

	<b>2006/07 £m</b>	<b>2007/08 £m</b>	<b>2008/09 £m</b>	<b>2009/10 £m</b>	<b>Total £m</b>
Approved Programme	2.263	3.165	4.350	1.813	11.591
Museums reprofiling	0.050			-0.050	0.00
York Pools Reprofile	-0.427	0.427			0.00
Parks & Spaces Reprofile	-0.185	0.185			0.00
Acomb Library	-0.700	0.700			0.00
York Pools – Oakland's			1.424		1.424
<b>Revised Capital Programme 2006/09</b>	<b>1.001</b>	<b>4.477</b>	<b>5.774</b>	<b>1.763</b>	<b>13.015</b>

**Table 5 – Leisure and Culture Capital Programme**

28. Key changes include:
- The immediate investment of £50k in the Castle Museum Kirkgate refurbishment brought forward from 2009/10.
  - The delay in the receipt for the Barbican site means that work cannot start on the major elements of the York pools scheme until earlier in the new year when the receipt is expected. It is proposed that £50k is retained in 2006/07 for feasibility and planning work with the remaining £427k to be reprofiled into 2007/08.
  - No further major works are anticipated to take place during the winter on the Parks and Open Spaces S106 development projects, it is therefore proposed that £185k of the remaining 2006/07 budget is

reprofiled into 2007/08 so that additional projects can be developed to start in the spring of 2007.

- The Acomb Library Scheme, to provide an integrated adult education and library facility has now been designed and is awaiting planning permission. The tender process will not start until the new year, resulting in most of the construction work taking place in 2007/08. As a result it is proposed that £700k of the 2006/07 budget is reprofiled into 2007/08. The facility is expected to be open for January 2008.
- The Executive approved an additional £1.424m to be contributed to a new pool on the York High (Oakland's) School site. The additional budget will be funded from the revenue savings generated from having an integrated facility with the sports centre on the site.

Housing Services (HASS EMAP – 11<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

29. The approved Capital Programme for Housing Services was £10.046m following the delegated adjustments reported at Monitor One. There have been a number of minor variations reported to the EMAP that reduce the programme by £5k. The key changes, including some minor slippage and additional funding to be made available from HRA resources in 2007/08 and 2008/09 are illustrated in Table 6.

	2006/07 £m	2007/08 £m	2008/09 £m	Total £m
<b>Approved Programme</b>	<b>10.046</b>	<b>8.125</b>	<b>8.153</b>	<b>26.324</b>
Adjustments made under officer delegated authority: -	-0.025	+0.022		-0.003
Discus Bungalow Adaptations	+0.020	+0.120	+0.027	+0.137
<b>Revised Housing Services Capital Programme</b>	<b>10.041</b>	<b>8.267</b>	<b>8.180</b>	<b>26.488</b>

**Table 6 - Housing Capital Programme**

30. The key change is in relation to the Discus Bungalow site development scheme. The relocation of tenants currently living in Discus Bungalows has required a number of adaptations to be made to their new dwellings. It is likely that these costs will be around £167k over the next 3 years. The cost of the additional adaptations will be funded from the eventual receipt from the development of the sites and can be funded from within existing HRA resources until these receipts are realised.

Neighbourhood Services (EMAP - 7<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

31. The approved Capital Programme for Neighbourhood Services was £0.697m as per Monitor One. As a result of changes made under this monitor the capital programme has increased to £0.699m. Table 7 gives a summary of the changes on a scheme by scheme basis.



	2006/07 £m	2007/08 £m	2008/09 £m	Total £m
<b>Approved Capital Programme</b>	<b>0.697</b>	<b>0.202</b>	<b>0.202</b>	<b>1.101</b>
Ward Committees	-0.051			-0.051
Contaminated Land Treatment	+0.033			+0.033
Transfer of Flood Pump scheme from Resources Capital Programme	+0.020			+0.020
<b>Revised Neighbourhood Services Capital Programme</b>	<b>0.699</b>	<b>0.202</b>	<b>0.202</b>	<b>1.103</b>

**Table 7 – Neighbourhood Services Capital Programme**

32. Key changes include:

- The Ward Committees have requested a transfer of £51k from the capital programme to the revenue budget to fund 5 pilot neighbourhood policing wards and other important projects. This transfer has been made possible by transferring budgets via the venture fund.
- Defra have awarded the Council a capital grant to support the statutory investigation and treatment of contaminated land, this has resulted in an increase of £33k to the Neighbourhood Services programme.
- Transfer of the £20k flood pump budget from Resources (see para 14)

Resources (Corporate Services EMAP – 12th December 2006)

33. The approved Capital Programme for Resources is £6.842m following the adjustments made under monitor one which saw the budget increase by £3.967m mainly as a result of the inclusion of the [Easy@York](#) scheme. As a result of changes made under this monitor, the capital programme has been increased by £100k to £6.942m. Table 8 gives a summary of the changes on a scheme by scheme basis.

	2006/07 £m	2007/08 £m	2008/09 £m	Total £m
<b>Approved Programme</b>	<b>6.862</b>	<b>4.318</b>	<b>8.560</b>	<b>19.740</b>
25 Hospital Fields Road	-0.110			-0.110
Transfer to Social Services	-0.100			-0.100
IT Development Equipment	+0.310			+0.310
Elvington Flood Pump to Neighbourhood Services	-0.020			-0.020
Admin Accom reprofile	0.000	-0.311	+4.666	+4.355
<b>Revised Budget</b>	<b>6.942</b>	<b>4.007</b>	<b>13.226</b>	<b>24.175</b>

**Table 8 – Resources Capital Programme**

34. Key changes include:

- The budget to buy out the lease on 25 Hospital Fields Road, following the leaseholder going in to liquidation, is no longer required as the liquidator has assigned the lease to another company. It was intended that the Council buy out the lease and refurbish the building to rent out as part of the commercial property portfolio, to prevent the building

from falling in to disrepair. A private sector company has taken on the lease and will do the necessary works. The budget of £110k to be funded from borrowing is therefore no longer needed.

- An opportunity has arisen to address some access and repairs backlog issues as part of the Social Services £300k scheme to refurbish Windsor House EPH. It has proved more cost effective and less disruptive to include these works within the main refurbishment contract. The Resources capital programme will fund £100k of these works. This funding has already been assumed in the Social Services capital programme.
- The Director of Resources carries out a quarterly evaluation process to determine the most cost effective means of funding the purchase of IT equipment. The Council has traditionally leased IT equipment, but the introduction of the prudential code in 2004 means that there is the opportunity to borrow to finance the purchase of IT equipment and systems. At Monitor 1 the decision was taken to purchase £500k of IT equipment and fund from borrowing. However, good progress on the replacement Social Care Management system along with other IT spend means that an additional £310k will be spent by the end of the year.
- The scheme to purchase a mobile flood pump, to be based at Elvington was originally recorded as a Resources scheme, however, Neighbourhood Services have now taken on the management of the project and the budget.

#### Administrative Accommodation Review

35. The current approved Admin Accom budget was revised by the Executive in October 2006 at £35.71m. The scheme extends beyond the life of the Council's current capital programme. However, it is necessary, in light of the latest estimates, to revised the profile of the spend over 2007/08 and 2008/09 and adjust the current capital programme. Table 9 illustrates the profile of the £35.71m admin accom budget according to the latest position of the financial model. The Capital Budget Report, on this agenda provides a picture of the proposed 2007/08 – 2010/11 capital programme.

	2005/06 £m	2006/07 £m	2007/08 £m	2008/09 £m	2009/10 £m	2010/11 £m	Total £m
<b>Budget</b>	0.141	1.759	3.757	12.976	14.899	2.178	35.710

***Table 9 – Profiled Spend of Admin Accom Budget***

#### Social Services (HASS EMAP – 11<sup>th</sup> December 2006)

36. The current approved budget for Social Services is £671k. This includes the refurbishment works at Windsor House. All of the budgets are anticipated to outturn on budget.

## Summary of Capital Expenditure

37. As a result of the changes reported to the EMAP meetings the revised capital programme is summarised in Table 10.

	2006/07 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	Total £000
<b>Gross Capital Programme</b>	<b>53.408</b>	<b>39.967</b>	<b>35.512</b>	<b>1.813</b>	<b>130.700</b>
<u>Scheme Adjustments</u>					
Children's Services		0.000	1.550	0.250	<b>1.800</b>
City Strategy	0.260				<b>0.260</b>
Housing	0.017	0.120	0.027		<b>0.164</b>
Neighbourhood Services	0.002				<b>0.002</b>
Leisure and Culture			1.424		<b>1.424</b>
Resources	0.080	-0.311	4.666	14.899	<b>19.334</b>
<u>Reprofiling</u>					
Housing	-0.022	0.022			<b>0.000</b>
City Strategy	-0.326	0.326			<b>0.000</b>
Leisure & Culture	-1.262	1.312		-0.050	<b>0.000</b>
<u>Requests for additional funding</u>					
New Depot					<b>0.000</b>
<b>Revised Gross Budget</b>	<b>52.157</b>	<b>41.436</b>	<b>43.179</b>	<b>16.912</b>	<b>153.684</b>

**Table 10 – Revised Capital Programme**

## Funding of the Capital Programme

38. The current capital programme is funded from a number of externally funded sources, along with capital receipts raised from the sale of surplus Council owned assets.

39. Following the adjustments made as part of this report, the revised capital programme between 2006/07 and 2009/10 stands at £153.684m. Funding from external sources and prudential borrowing contributes £106.298m, leaving a balance of £47.386m to be funded from capital receipts. Table 11 illustrates the funding breakdown of the capital programme, with Confidential Annex B giving details of the individual properties, indicative values and timescales for sale.

40. As part of the budget setting process, a list of asset sales were agreed by the Council for reinvestment in the capital programme. The capital receipts budget was approved at £18.687m for 2006/07, adjusted for Admin Accom sales this increases to £25.747m. The value of receipts received to date is £10.078m, with a further £18.023m expected to be received by the end of the financial year, making a total of £28.101m, of this there is a risk that £0.580m may not be received during 2006/07 and may slip in to 2007/08. This apparent over achievement of receipts in is mainly because receipts delayed from 2005/06 are now forecast to be realised during 2006/07.

	2006/07 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	Total £000
Gross Capital Programme	52,157	41,436	43,179	16,912	153,684
Funded by					
Supported Borrowing	11,427	8,521	5,955	0	25,903
Grants and Contributions	21,413	22,469	9,930	250	53,812
Prudential Borrowing	3,872	500	9,501	12,710	26,583
Total External Funding	36,712	31,490	25,386	12,960	106,298
Funding to be Financed from Capital Receipts	15,445	9,946	17,793	3,952	47,386
Expected Capital Receipts	28,101	11,406	14,387	2,539	56,433
Receipts b/fwd (surplus)/deficit	8,194	-4,462	-5,922	-2,516	
Receipts c/fwd (surplus)/deficit	-4,462	-5,922	-2,516	-1,103	

**Table 11 - Capital Programme Funding and Receipts Projections**

41. Regular monthly monitoring of capital receipts will identify any problems in receipt timing and will be reported accordingly to the Executive in the next monitoring report.

#### **Joseph Rowntree Secondary School**

42. In July 2006, it was reported to Children's Services EMAP that the Council had been selected as one of 25 Pathfinder authorities to be invited to build a new secondary school under the Building Schools for the Future (BSF) programme. This report recommended that Joseph Rowntree school be nominated as York's pathfinder. The proposal was accepted, and officers were requested to work with the school to prepare and submit a detailed proposal to the DfES.
43. To progress the proposal, a Development team has been set up. Initial meetings have been held with planners and statutory consultees and discussions are ongoing. Site survey work has been commissioned and will inform the outline business case to the DfES. Consultation with staff, students, parents and the local community about the new building commenced in November and will continue throughout the process.
44. The funding which will be provided for the new school will be in accordance with a cost model supplied by the DfES based on pupil numbers and SEN provision, and will be in the form of capital grant. There are no indications at present of the exact level of funding, but it is expected to be in the region of £20m. It is proposed that the scheme is not included in the capital programme until the amount of funding is available.

#### **Corporate Priorities**

45. The capital programme is decided through a formal process, using a Capital Resource Allocation Model (CRAM). CRAM is a tool used for allocating the

Council's scarce capital resources to schemes that meet corporate priorities.

## **Implications**

### **Financial Implications**

46. The financial implications are considered in the main body of the report.

### **Human Resources Implications**

47. There are no HR implications as a result of this report

### **Equalities Implications**

48. There are no equalities implications as a result of this report

### **Legal Implications**

49. There are no legal implications as a result of this report

### **Crime and Disorder**

50. There are no crime and disorder implications as a result of this report

### **Information Technology**

51. There are no information technology implications as a result of this report

### **Property**

52. The property implications of this paper are included in the main body of the report which covers the funding of the capital programme from capital receipts in paragraphs 29 - 31.

### **Risk Management**

53. The capital programme is regularly monitored as part of the corporate monitoring process. In addition to this the Corporate Capital Monitoring Group (CAPMOG) meets regularly to plan monitor and review major capital receipts to ensure that all capital risks to the Council are minimised.
54. The size of the capital programme in relation to past financial years, is placing a pressure on the capacity of the organisation to continue to deliver the programme. The key risks are highlighted in the Capital Budget report on this agenda at paragraph 30 which discusses the robustness of the capital budget.

### **Recommendations**

55. The Executive is requested to:

- Approve the £99k of additional funding highlighted in the report (Table 10).
- Approve the reprofiling of £1.61m of budget from 2006/07 to future years (Table 10).
- Approve the addition of overage to fund the potential overspend on the new depot of up to £0.26m as detailed in paragraph 24.
- Approve the restated capital programme summarised in Table 2 and as set out in detail in Annex A.
- Note the revisions to the capital receipts position for 2006/07 to 2009/10 as summarised in Confidential Annex B.

### Contact Details

**Author:**

**Tom Wilkinson**  
**Corporate Finance Manager**  
 Corporate Accountancy  
 Tel No. 551187

Ross Brown  
 Corporate Accountant  
 Corporate Accountancy  
 Tel No. 551207

**Chief Officer Responsible for the report:**

**Peter Steed**  
 Head of Finance

**Report  
 Approved**



**Date** 18/12/06

Simon Wiles  
 Director of Resources

**Report  
 Approved**



**Date** 18/12/06

### Specialist Implications Officer(s)

N/a

**Wards Affected:**

All

**For further information please contact the author of the report**

### Background Papers:

2006/07 – 2008/09 Capital Budget Report  
 Department EMAP Capital Monitoring Reports

### Annexes

Annex A – Capital Programme 2006/07 – 2008/09  
*Annex B (exempt annex) - Capital Receipts Tracking 2006*